

REMARKS

The Applicant traverses all of the objections and rejections of the Office Action. Applicant has amended claims 1, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 18, 20, and 25 herein. The amendments to the claims do not add new matter. Support for the amendments can be found in the specification, for example, page 4, line 35 through pages 5, line 8 and pages 23 through 24. Applicant appreciates the Examiner's review of the above-identified patent application and respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance in view of the above amendments and following remarks.

I. Response to Claim Objections and Rejection -35 USC § 112

Applicant has amended claims 11 and 12 to correct the typographical error. Applicant appreciates the Examiner thorough review and respectfully requests withdraw of the objection to claims 11 and 12.

II. Response to Claim Rejections Based on Anticipation and Obviousness

Applicant has amended claims 1, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 18, 20, and 25 to recite the ability to control operation of multiple devices.

The references cited in the prior office does not disclose an apparatus or method of a remote device control multiple devices by provide instruction for their operation from the remote location. Therefore, the rejection of claims 1, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 18, 20, and 25 should be withdrawn and claims 1, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 18, 20, and 25 allowed.

The Applicant respectfully submits that since claims 2-5, 7-8, 10, 13-14, 16-17, 19, 21, 23-24, and 26 depend on independent claims 1, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 18, 20, and 25, respectively; claims 2-5, 7-8, 10, 13-14, 16-17, 19, 21, 23-24, and 26 contain all limitations of independent claims 1, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 18, 20, and 25, respectively. Since independent claims 1, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 18, 20, and 25 should be allowed, as argued herein, pending dependent claims 2-5, 7-8, 10, 13-14, 16-17, 19, 21, 23-24, and 26 should be allowed as a matter of law for at least this reason. In re Fine, 5 U.S.P.Q.2d 1596, 1608 (Fed. Cir. 1988).


CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing amendments and for at least the reasons set forth above, Applicant respectfully submits that all objections and rejections have been traversed, rendered moot

and/or accommodated, and that presently pending claims 1-21 and 23-26 are in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and the presently pending claims are hereby courteously requested. The examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned, Applicant's attorney of record, to facilitate advancement of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Aleksander Szlam

By 
Andrew R. Martin, Esq.
Registration No. 45,413
Attorney for Applicant(s)

BOURQUE & ASSOCIATES, P.A.
835 Hanover Street, Suite 301
Manchester, New Hampshire 03104

Telephone: (603) 623-5111
Facsimile: (603) 624-1432

Date: 8-7-06